FILED
DEC 2 6 2006
OFFICE OF THE CLERK
SUPREME COURT, U.S.

CASE NO.

IN THE UNITED STATES SUPREME COURT

TERM 2006
U.S. Docket 06-552
(APP. CIV-06- 2233)

BILLY LORD PUNCHARD; ROYAL CONTINENTAL SUDAN AFRICA GOVERNMENT,

PLAINTIFF\APPELLANT,

Vs,

U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT;
U.S. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATIVE;
U.S. GOVERNMENT;(PRESIDENT GEORGE W. BUSH),

DEFENDANT\APPELLEES.

PETITION FOR ORIGINAL JURISDICTION UP FROM U.S. TENTH CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEAL TO THE U.S. SUPREME COURT

PETITION FOR REHEARING ON DENILE OF PETITION FOR CERTIORARI REVIEW

DE\APPELLEE COUNSEL| PL\APPELLANT COUNSEL
DAVID C. IGLESIAS; | BILLY LORD PUNCHARD
JOHN w. ZAVITZ | 702 WEST FIRST STREET
201 3RD STREET N.W. | DEMING, NEW MEXICO
Albuquerque ,N.M. 87103 | 88030

PARTIES TO THE CASE
RULE 14

Attorney for DEFENDANTS-APPELLEES
U.S. GOVERNMENT:(GEORGE W. BUSH)
U.S. BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT(BLM) :
U.S. GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION:
(GSA)

DAVID C. IGLESIAS;
JOHN W. ZAVITZ
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
201 3RD STREET N.W.
ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103
(505)346-7274

<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<<>>>>>>>>>>>>

ATTORNEY FOR THE
PLAINTIFFS\APPELLANTS

WILLIAM LORD PUNCHARD;
ROYAL DEMOCTRATIC STATES OF CONTINENTAL
SUDAN AFRICA GOV. PRO SE COUNSEL
ROYAL CONTINTNTAL EMBASSY # 15
702 West First Street
Deming, New Mexico 88030.
(505) 546-5434 \(505) 545-7015

Court Jurisdiction

This court has Jurisdictional pursuant to 20 U.S. Government **Lease Contracts**; U.S. Constitution Bill of Rights, **ARTICLE VII:** Trial by Jury; **ARTICLE IV CONSPIRACY ,** Lack of Due Process; **ARTICLE V** Unreasonable seizure , .o be secure in papers; *deprived of property*; The Civil Right **Act of 1871,** Attempting to Regulate Deferently, Political Red Line; **ARTICLE I,**
Section 10,paragraph 1. Attempting Determined letters of Political Royalty, to act out side U.S. Government Court Jurisdiction this Court has Jurisdiction.

JURISDICTIONAL STATEMENT

This case is coming from the **tenth Circuit Court** of Appeals . Date of Disposition is **May 14,2006**.number **CV-o5-2233.**

This case was disposition in District Court Date **7,08,2005** number **CV-05-00010** ; This case was filed in **Federal District Court** in **January 4,2005**

This Case came from the(IBLA) **Interior Board of land Appeals** , Number **IBLA 2001-239** on date **March 16,2004**. IBLA File opening was **8,15,2000.**

This case was **Rubber stamped with Court Clerk named, dening a hearing 12\04\2006 ;**
Appealed Timely.

INDEX

PARTY TO THE CASE.....I

COURT JURISDICTION.....II

INDEX.....III

PRECEADULE HISTORY.....IV

STATEMENT OF THE CASE.....1.
ORDAIN SOVEREIGN PUBLIC RIGHT.....1.
WILL OF THE PUBLIC.....2.
PUBLIC REPRESENTATION.....3.
PUBLIC EQUIL PROTECTION.....3.
EMINENT DOMAIN.....4.
PUBLIC FOREIGN CONCERN.....5.

CONSTITUTION

ARTICLE VII.....Trial by jury.....II,
ARTICLE IV ,SEC.3., PARG. 2.....DESPOSAL...2, 6.
ARTICLE XVI.....TAX.....4,
ARTICLE III, SEC.2., PARG. 2. ...EXCLUSIVE.....5.
ARTICLE 14.....IMMUNITY.....4.
ARTICLE 4.....SECURITY.....4
CODE 1871 CIVIL RIGHTS ACT....DEFRENTLY....II, 3.
CODE 1872.....MINING ACT.....2.

PRESIDENCE

U.S., Vs, BELMONT 301.....FOREIGN GOV.....3.

PRECEADUAL HISTORY

This Case went to the U.S. Supreme Court with **out any opposition** to the merits, or its Presidencies from the DE\APE . The DE\APE **waved it Rights** to File A 40 BRIEF-IN-REPLY. **Court Rubber stamped a(Red lining)denial.** **The Record** shows the Merits and presidencies , and Questions Presented by the PL\APA have **never been reviewed** by the U.S. Supreme Court .**Therefore** ' this Appeal **is not to** Attempt to inform nor Correct the Honorable Court on the Case Merits, Presidencies nor Questions, because there is no issue that needed defending on the behalf of the PL\APA.

The Court is asking for a **Secondary, National and Public Concern reason**, besides the Legal Federal Mining Lease Contracts that they should allow a Court Opinion to settle the matter. **As if an affected $100 Billions($)loss is not enough reason for Court entry.**

The Record shows two PL\APA as **legal** holder of the federal Mining leases. The largest investor is PL\APA (**A Constitutional Royal Democratic Declared Continental Sudan Regional African Government),** and the Smaller Investor PL\APA (a **Spokesmen, and Chief Executive for this Royal Government):** Pursuant to **U.S. , Vs BELMONT BANK** 301 U.S. 324(1937)..*OPINION BY* **SOUTHERLAND** ,**J**...The **Executive** had authority to speak as the sole organ of that government. **Thus' PL\APA move to Appeal .**

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

1. **EXTRA ORDINARY REQUIREMENTS**
DENIAL NOT SIGN BY A ATTENDING **CLERK BUT' RUBBER STAMPED.** Causing PL\APA **Billions of Dollars ($) in mining** Value If Ruling stand. PL\APA **Is forced** to carry DE\APE'S **Waved burden** to Defend. In this Appeal the PL\APA (Gov) is now faced with a **U.S. Court Procedure (Privilege) Foundation** for granting Redress for PL\APA's JUST Compensation. Seeking information on how the Public will be **affected** ,or society **protected** and\ or **rewarded**. Raw facts.

2. **ORDAIN SOVEREIN PUBLIC RIGHTS**
The U.S. COURT expressed goal is to protect the **Constitution foundation** on the **behalf of the citizens** of this nations. Any Legal Business Document issued by the National Govemment be it an agreement

STATEMENTS OF THE CASE:

and/or Monetary is a presentation and representation of the Citizen's **Public WILL and Integrity**. This Court's RULING denied the **Public's disposeal** contract rights. **Article IV, Section 3.,Paragraph 2**.(Power to depose).

3. **WILL OF THE PUBLIC**:

The U.S. Document issued to the PLAPA was the will of the People's **VIA U.S. Congress** concerning the Peoples Land and their rights to Maintain Jurisdiction. and Exercise Pursuant to Document **CODE 1872 Mining Act.** U.S. Court **deprives** the Peoples of this Jurisdictional exercise made by **congress** . violation. **Article IV. Sec.3.Parg.2.**

---

*1. PLAPA don't believe **Clerk William K. Suter** know of the **unaccompanied Clerk signing use** of his Rubber stamping Name. PLAPA is aggrieved.

STATEMENTS OF THE CASE

4. PUBLIC REPRESENTATION

Any RULE of the Court and Action appears to move half heartily with interlocutory on federal Document and lands that represent the Public's Constitution welfare is a attempt to litigate a violation Against the U.S. Public's trust in the Courts The Public placed the U.S. Court in charge OF Business Concerns with the U.S. Government; The Court denying the Petition to settle the Mining Lease Contracts is a **Act treating** the public's and Parties **differently (U.S., Vs, Belmont,301 U.S. 324 (1937)), Civil Rights Act 1871.**

5. **PUBLIC EQUIL PROTECTION**

The Ruling deprives the U.S. Public's Rights to

---

*2. Mining Claim Leases bring the Peoples lots of Money each year; both City, County, state, and Federal. Any attempt to looking cheating on a U.S. mining Lease is Harmful to the Public Land Trust, and makes a bad Court Precedence.

STATEMENTS OF THE CASE

U.S. Constitution Cons.,Article XVI ...COLLECTING TAXES on income, from whatever source derive.

6. Eminent Domain

This Mining Claim Land was ordered Federal Surplus Property for Sale. There is No History of State, nor Federal Government declaring Emanate Domain. Each Federal Mining **Claim Lease carries Immunities of Due Process** well as **Equal protection**. **U.S. Con, article 14th, PLAPA's Cons, ARTICLE 4 (secureity)**.

7. JUSTICE AND NATIONAL, FOREIGN CONCERNS

Behind the Sean: PLAPA **(Royal Government)** being a Constitutional Run Royal Government, it has a right to express freely it concern through its Executive

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

when it feels **internationally** Threaten, Oppressed.

The U.S. Constitution **Guarantees Foreign Minister)**a

U.S. Court review :**Article III,Sec.,2, Para.2.(exclusive)**

REASON FOR GRANTING

1. Public FOREIGN CONCERNS

The Record show PLAPA has **two Appeals Court** cases **affected by this case**. A **Motion** for **Court Arbitration between U.S. Gov. and PLAPA (Royal Gov.) on** the where about of the **Terrorist Ben larden.** If **Denial Persist** PLAPA (Gov) **"no doubt"** would feel so **Aggrieved (betrayed by U.S. Friends)** it would find it's **obligated** to **With Draw any arbitration Motion**, and Go Public, **To Each Family Member of**

***3**. Appeals Court (06-2222), Justice Dept. (06-20 76) knows about the Motion ,they are sitting on it. The Public **Might** finally know. **If Forced to** Loose **$100** Billions.. then' reward of $25 Million is nothing. You ask' Do this case concern the U.S.**Public?! Yes! Let see in** Court.

STATEMENTS OF THE CASE.

the **3,000 Victims of 9\11 attack , to every Radio Sta.; "TV" Talk Show;** Paper Post, **U. N. seated Member , explaing Motion withdrawal ,** and **Why they wan't see justice , closure(**Court's lack of discretion, betrayal, and due process). **BEN LARDON Might SAY Ha! Ha!, and EXCAIP HIS Pay back to the American Public and Justice.** Do the Court want a **few acres of Surplus Dirt Mining Claims** more than PL\APA'S (Gov) Information on **Osuma Ben Lardan** Mountain hide out?

*4. **God forbid'** that **Court Clerk ostracizing** discression would cause such agreeving action to AP|APA, Justice ,and Public. The Public would say " We want **Osuma Ben Lardon** caught and **ended! We want the Terrorist-rallying cry around him Ended!** 3000 U.-S. service men have lost their lives Because' of the Alkyds Rallying.

REAON FOR GRANTING

2. **MALICIOUS COURT MOOT MOVEMENT**

Al ready the Lower Court of appeals speaks Mootly on AP|APA(Government) by attempting to act as the **U.S. Department of State**. They Suffers from the **doctrine** of **None-Delegation** (no Jurisdiction to make **Foreign Political Policy**). Pursuant to U.S. Constitution, article **III.,Section 2., Paragraph 2. (U.S.** Court has exclusive jurisdiction over Foreign Ministers). Appeal court issues a **letter of Reprisals** violating **ARTICLE I,Section 10** .Paragraph 1.. Is the **U.S. Court** going to **reckless** join them?! **Deciding Letters of Royilty is a violation of the U.S. constitution and Civil Right Act of 1871.** So is Rubber stamping a Letter of Reprisal:: A Bias Act.

---

*5 Appeals Court sit on the information About **Ben Lardon** for over 2 year. Do **U.S. Court** want to share Credit for helping **concealing** information regards the safty of the American Public. Is the U.S. Court intending to join the Treason ?! By dening AP|APA Equial Protection to Courts, and Public right to know.

CONCLUSION

The U.S. Court have **but two matter to look at : *1. 20 up to date Federal mining claim Leases; *2. Did DE|APE (Federal Attorney) Fail to make service in Appeal Court Pursuant to RULE 4 (RULE 14 U.S. COURT) ON ALL the AP|APA Partys ! Let this case in. it is in the Public Interest. There is no other way to Protect the Publics Mining Act of 1872., and Its Legal Rights for AP|APA to protect his Leases.**

Thus' having no more business!..I thank the Honorable Court.

1\10\2006 Billy Lord Punchard

BILLY LORD PUNCHARD Pro Se Counsel
ROYAL CONTINENTAL SUDAN AFRICA
GOVERNMENT EMBASSY #15.
702 West First St., Deming, New Mexico
Zip:88030 (505)546 5434

I Certify I mailed a Copy to DE\APE on Date 01\10\2007

Billy Lord Punchard

Billy Lord Punchard
BILLY LORD PUNCHARD Pro Se Counsel